## WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

**DISTRICT OF ARIZONA** 

Un	v.	ORDE	R OF DETENT	ION PENDING TRIAL
Ricardo Luna-Mena		Case Numb	oer:	15-9192MJ
was present an	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3 and represented by counsel. I conclude the detention of the defendant pending	by a preponderance of th		
		FINDINGS OF FACT		
I find by a prep	onderance of the evidence that:			
$\boxtimes$	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.			
$\boxtimes$	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.			
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade la	law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	of	_ years imprisonm	ent.
The Co Court at the tim	ourt incorporates by reference the mate	erial findings of the Pretria as noted in the record.	I Services Agency	which were reviewed by the
	C	ONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defend No condition or combination of condit		re the appearance	of the defendant as required.
	DIRECTION	ONS REGARDING DETE	NTION	
in a corrections pending appea order of a court	efendant is committed to the custody of a facility separate, to the extent practical. The defendant shall be afforded a retof the United States or on request of a liver the defendant to the United States  APPEALS	able, from persons awaiting easonable opportunity for an attorney for the Goverr	ng or serving sente private consultation nment, the person of an appearance	ences or being held in custody in with defense counsel. On in charge of the corrections
to deliver a cop District Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this by of the motion for review/reconsiderat Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.F of service of a copy of this order or after	s detention order be filed vition to Pretrial Services at P., effective December 1,	with the District Co t least one day pric 2009, Defendant s	or to the hearing set before the shall have fourteen (14) days

ity objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: <u>June 22, 2015</u>

Eileen S. Willett United States Magistrate Judge